IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS OF

SOFTRAX CORPORATION,	1178,300	F 92
SOFTRAX CORPORATION,	CASE NO.	
Plaintiff,		THUSAN JEMASS
	NOTICE OF REM	OVAECEIPT#
. v.		AMOUNT \$250
	(Diversity)	SUMMONS ISSUED NOM
PATRICK RASICOT,	Manne	LOCAL RULE 4.1_
	MAGISTRATE JUDGE A LOSAN	WAIVER FORM/
Defendant.		MOF ISSUED
		BY DPTY. CLK. J TOM
NO	DATE_ \$130 105	

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 et seq., Defendant Patrick Rasicot hereby removes this civil action from the Massachusetts Superior Court, Norfolk County, Session B, Case No. 05-01342, to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. This Court has removal jurisdiction because this is a civil action "of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction" and an action in which "none of the parties in interest properly joined and served as defendants is a citizen of the State in which such action is brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) - (b); see 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

Removal of this action is proper for the following reasons:

1. On August 1, 2005, plaintiff Softrax Corporation ("Softrax") filed this action against defendant Patrick Rasicot ("Rasicot") in Massachusetts Superior Court, Norfolk County, Case No. 05-01342 (the "State Action"). A true and correct copy of the Summons and Complaint in the State Action is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.¹

All references to exhibits cited herein, including all process, pleadings and orders served upon defendant, are included in the Appendix of Exhibits Cited in Notice of Removal, submitted herewith.

Filed 08/30<u>/2</u>005

- 2. In the State Action complaint, Softrax identifies itself as "a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Canton, Norfolk County, Massachusetts." Ex. 1, ¶ 2.
 - 3. Rasicot is a resident of the state of Rhode Island. See Ex. 1, ¶ 4.
- 4. The amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, as explained in the following paragraphs 8-10.
- 5. In the State Action complaint, Softrax alleges that Rasicot has breached a Noncompetition, Nondisclosure and Inventions Agreement (the "Agreement") dated March 13, 2000. (A copy of the Agreement is attached to Softrax's complaint. See Ex.

 1.) In its prayer for relief in the State Action complaint, Softrax seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction,

restraining and enjoining Rasicot from (i) engaging in "Prohibited Business" as defined in Section 2(c) of the Agreement for the "Noncompete Period" defined in the Agreement and (ii) otherwise breaching the agreement.

Ex. 1 at 7.

- 6. Section 2(c) of the Agreement defines the "Noncompete Period" as "the period commencing on the date of this Agreement and ending two (2) years following the date on which the Employee last performs services for or on behalf of the Company (the 'Severance Date')."
- 7. Softrax alleges in the State Action complaint that Rasicot resigned from Softrax in June, 2005. See Ex. 1, ¶ 8.
- 8. While Softrax omits any claimed damages from its State Action complaint, the value of the object of the litigation restraining Rasicot from performing

Filed 08/30/2005

his new job with NetSuite (see Ex. 1, ¶ 16) – is clearly ascertainable by reference to the terms of Rasicot's employment arrangement with NetSuite.

9. A true and correct copy of NetSuite's May 30, 2005 offer letter to Rasicot for the position of "Subject Matter Expert – PreSales" is attached hereto as Exhibit 34. NetSuite's offer letter, which was accepted by Rasicot's counter-signature on June 6, 2005, explicitly sets forth Rasicot's compensation:

> You will be reporting to Melissa Saunders and will receive an annulized [sic] salary of \$110,000 with an estimated on target earnings of \$150,000. ... You will be granted the option to acquire 15,000 shares of NetSuite common stock, subject to approval by the Board of Directors. Your options will vest, consistent with NetSuite's Stock Plan, 25% one year from grant date and 1/48th monthly thereafter for a total of 4 years vesting. Upon your start day, you will be eligible to participate in NetSuite's employee benefit plans including medical, dental, vision, life and disability insurance. You will be eligible to participate in NetSuite's 401(k) plan 90 days after your start date with the company matching 34% of your contribution up to \$3,570 per year. . . .

Ex. 2, at 1.

- 10. Given that Rasicot's compensation, as detailed above, well exceeds \$75,000 in a single year, Softrax's requested two-year injunction has a value that exceeds the jurisdictional amount requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 11. Accordingly, this Court has original jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and is one which may be removed to this Court by defendant pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446.
- 12. After serving the State Action complaint, Softrax sought and received a preliminary injunction. On or about August 12, 2005 the Superior Court (Brady, J.), entered a Memorandum and Order, attached hereto as Exhibit 22, allowing Softrax's

Filed 08/30/2005

Motion for Preliminary Injunction and ordering defendant to refrain from working for NetSuite. On or about August 19, 2005, that order was upheld by a single justice of the Massachusetts Appeals Court. See Ex. 29.

- This Notice of Removal is timely in that it is brought within thirty (30) 13. days of notice of plaintiff's State Action complaint upon Rasicot.
- 14. A Notice of Filing of this Notice of Removal will be filed promptly with the Massachusetts Superior Court, Norfolk County, and will be served on plaintiff.
- 15. Rasicot reserves all defenses available to him at law, in equity, or otherwise. Rasicot does not waive any defenses available to him by the filing of the instant Notice.
- Attached to the Appendix of Exhibits Cited In Notice of Removal, filed 16. herewith, are Exhibits 1-34, which are true and correct copies of all additional pleadings and orders from the State Action.

WHEREFORE, defendant Patrick Rasicot notices the removal of this case to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1441, et seq.

Respectfully submitted, PATRICK RASICOT

By his attorneys
BIRNBAUM & GODKIN, LLP

Dated: August 30, 2005

Scott A. Birnbaum (BBO# 543834) Robert N. Feldman (BBO# 630734) Melissa M. Longo (BBO# 647649)

Birnbaum & Godkin, LLP 280 Summer Street, 5th Floor Boston, MA 02210

Tel: 617-307-6100 Fax: 617-307-6101

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Melissa M. Longo, counsel for the defendant, do hereby certify that on this 30th day of August 2005, I caused to be served a true copy of the foregoing upon all parties by fax and by mailing same, first class mail, postage pre-paid, to all counsel of record:

T. Christopher Donnelly
Paula M. McManus
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
Donnelly, Conroy & Gelhaar, LLP
One Beacon Street, 33rd floor
Boston, MA 02108
Tel: 617-720-2880

Melissa M. Longo /

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

CLERK'S NOTICE

This document can not be scanned due to its size, or the way in which it was bound.

The original is available for viewing in the Clerk's Office.

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

					7.				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS	25 07 Y	W.			
SOFTRAX CORPORATION				PATRICK RASICOT					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff NORFOLK				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	(ES)	—	County of Residence o		LAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)		
(22	1021 1 11 0.0.1 2 11 11 11 11 11	20)		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE					
				LANDI	NVOLVED.	4A59.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name.	Address and Telephone Number	617-720-2880		Attorneys (If Known)	Scott A	. Birnbaum	617-	307-61	20
T. Christopher Dor	Address, and Telephone Number nnelly, Donnelly, C	onroy & Gelhaar	,	Birnbaum & Goo	dkin, LL	P, 280 Summ			
One Beacon Street,	Boston, AM 02108			Boston, MA 02		,			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	L PARTIES			
1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question		((For Diversity Cases Only)	rf def		and One Box fo	or Detenda R TF	nt) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government N	lot a Party)	Citize	en of This State	1 🗇 1	Incorporated or Proof Business In This		△ 4	4
_	-			_	v_				_
Defendant	4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2 1 2	Incorporated and F of Business In A		□ 5	□ 5
Dominin	(Indicate Citizenship	of Parties in Item III)					monto otato		
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	/)							
CONTRACT	TOR			FEITURE/PENALTY		KRUPTCY		STATUT	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury -		10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appe	al 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Re ☐ 410 Antitrus		ment
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice		25 Drug Related Seizure		C 157	430 Banks a	nd Bankin	g
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	П 6	of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws	PROPE	RTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Comme ☐ 460 Deporta		
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander	368 Asbestos Personal	□ 6	40 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copy	rights	☐ 470 Rackete	er Influenc	
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability		50 Airline Regs. 60 Occupational	☐ 830 Paten ☐ 840 Trade		Corrupt of 480 Consum	Organizatio ver Credit	ons
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y	Safety/Health			490 Cable/S	at TV	
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment		370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	□ 6	90 Other LABOR	SOCIAL	SECURITY	☐ 810 Selectiv ☐ 850 Securiti		dities/
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal	O 7	10 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA	(1395 ff)	Exchang	e	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	I 7	Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black	(Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC		ge .
☑ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal	Product Liability		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID	Title XVI	390 Other S	tatutory Ac	ctions
REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	- 10 7	& Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act	on 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc.					
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus:	'	Security Act			894 Energy 895 Freedon		
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability		530 General 535 Death Penalty	ł				Act 900Appeal of	of Eas Date	ination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	r				Under E	qual Acces	s S
		550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	1				to Justice		£
	Other	2 333 Filson Condition					950 Constitu State Sta		1
	440 Other Civil Rights			***	[
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)							Appeal to	
		Remanded from	4 Reins		ferred from er district	□ 6 Multidistr		udge from Magistrate	
Proceeding St		Appellate Court		pened (specif		Litigation	. J	udgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO				Do not cite jurisdiction:					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIC	Brief description of car	use:action for en	force	ment of non-comp	etition	agreement.			
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	S A CLASS ACTION	Di	EMANDS riliminary Injun	,. C	HECK YES only	if demanded in	complain	nt:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P.	23	۲	riiminary Injun	iction _{ji}	URY DEMAND:	☑ Yes	□No	
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S)						(in Answer	1)	
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JÙDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER			
DATE C 20 A		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY (OF RECORD					
8-30-0		Wiliss	_	· · · · ·					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		, with		7					
RECEIPT# A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	OGE		

(CategoryForm.wpd -5/2/05)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

							**************************************)				
1.	Title of case (name of first party on each side only)					21.21	THE STATE					
		S	OFTRAX CORPORA	- (11.00							
2.	Category 40.1(a)(1		the case belongs b	pased upon the nur	mbered nature of s	uit code	listed on th	e civil d	ે. મુંગે cover sheet.	(See loca	al rule	
		1.	160, 410, 470, 535,	R.23, REGARDLE	SS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.	DIS (1)	(9UA. 853.			
		fl.			, 550, 555, 625, 710 90, 892-894, 895, 9), ` *Also c	omplete	AO 120 or A		ases	
	<u>X</u>	111.		345, 350, 355, 360	, 240, 245, 290, 310 , 362, 365, 370, 371							
	_	IV.		, 460, 480, 490, 510 870, 871, 875, 900.	, 530, 610, 620, 630	640, 65	0, 660,					
		V.	150, 152, 153.									
3.			if any, of related ca licate the title and n				prior relate	ed case	has been fil	ed in this		
4.	Has a pri	ior action	between the same	parties and based	on the same claim	ever bee	n filed in th	is cour NO	t?			
5.	Does the §2403)	complair	nt in this case ques	tion the constitutio	nality of an act of o	congress	affecting t	he publ		(See 28 l	usc	
	If so is t	ha II S A	or an officer, agent	or employee of the	all Sanartv2	YES	Ш	NO	X			
	11 30, 13 1	ne 0.0.A.	or an omcer, agent	or employee or the	e 0.5. a party:	YES		МО	X			
6.	ls this ca	se requir	ed to be heard and	determined by a di	strict court of three	e judges YES	pursuant to	title 28	8 USC §2284	?		
7.			es in this action, ex governmental agend								(d)).	
		A.	If yes, in which divis	sion do <u>all</u> of the nor	n-governmental parti	ies reside	?					
			Eastern Division	\square	Central Division			West	ern Division			
		В.	If no, in which divisi in Massachusetts re		f the plaintiffs or the	only part	ies, excludir	ng gove	rnmental age	ncies, res	iding	
			Eastern Division		Central Division			West	ern Division			
8.	If filing a l separate	Notice of F sheet iden	Removal - are there a trifying the motions)	any motions pending	in the state court re	equiring th	e attention	of this C	Court? (If yes	, submit a		
						YES		NO	X			
	EASE TYP		r									
ΑT	IORNEY'S	NAME: S	SCOTT A. BIRNBAU									
			Birnbaum & Godkin									
	DRESS		280 Summer Street,	Boston, MA 02210								
TEI	LEPHONE	NO.	617-307-6120									